



# New Models for Keeping Partisans out of Election Administration

## Summary Version

In at least six swing states, elections in 2022 for secretary of state will feature well-funded “stop-the-stun” candidates, who if elected, will be responsible for overseeing the administration of elections and appear prepared to overturn unfavorable election results to help their side win. Similar threats are emerging at the local level, where most of the core election functions of registering voters, administering polling stations, and tabulating results take place.

These scenarios underscore the need to address a unique vulnerability of U.S. election administration: the lack of safeguards against party or candidate loyalists holding key election administration positions.

The election administration landscape in the United States is changing rapidly. In 2022, at least six swing states will have elections for secretary of state, a position that oversees the administration of elections. These candidates, often well-funded by political parties, may be more likely to overturn unfavorable election results to help their side win. At the local level, election administration functions such as voter registration, polling station administration, and result tabulation are typically handled by election officials who are party loyalists. This lack of safeguards creates a unique vulnerability in U.S. election administration.

By contrast, constitutionally independent bodies run elections in 73 countries. The U.S. is the only country where election administration is typically led by high-ranking party members. This is a long-standing feature of U.S. elections, but it has also been a source of concern for many years.

While partisan election administration is a long-standing feature of U.S. elections, our history also includes nominating commissions, which assist in the appointment process for state judges, and independent redistricting commissions, which determine state legislative and congressional district boundaries. These commissions can provide a check on the influence of party loyalists in election administration. However, they are not always effective in preventing partisan manipulation of election results.

## Judicial Nominating Commissions (JNCs) and Independent Redistricting Commissions

## Applying These Models to State Election Administration

Summarized below are seven key attributes of these commission types that can provide model approaches for reforms to state election administration:

1. Appointment processes prevent control by one or both political parties, while providing meaningful input from them.
2. Appointment processes involve relevant stakeholders such as civil society organizations, professional associations, political independents, and third parties.
3. Explicit criteria help guide the work and output of the commissions.
4. Commissions are required to work transparently.
5. Commissions include mechanisms for review by, or appeal to, branches of state government.
6. Commissions have constitutional status, providing protection for their functions and composition.

### Recommendations for reform:

- ★ Ensure independent redistricting commissions are established fully.
- ★ Leverage lessons from independent redistricting commissions.
- ★ Consider nominating commission roles for some local election positions and increase the use of nonpartisan elections.

For more information on how to apply these models to state election administration, see the report "Nonpartisan Redistricting Commissions: A Model for Fair Representation," available [here](#).

